## UNCLASSIFIED

EXHIBIT R	-2, RDT&E B	udget Item .	Justification				DATE:			
							June 2001			
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY				R-1 ITEM NO	MENCLATURE	<b>=</b>				
RESEARCH DEVELOPMENT TEST & EVALU	IATION, NAV	Υ	NAVY INFO	O TECH DE	V/MOD 060	)5013N				
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	Cost to Complete	Total Cost				
Total PE Cost	0.000	32.159	49.332	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	CONT.	CONT.
AAUSN IT 52901	0.000	2.618	3.216	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	CONT.	CONT.
SPAWAR IT 62907	0.000	17.622	8.203	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	CONT.	CONT.
BUPERS IT L2905	0.000	5.370	12.389	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	CONT.	CONT.
NAVSEA IT S2904	5.171	5.415	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	CONT.	CONT.	
NAVAIR IT W2903	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	CONT.	CONT.			
NAVSUP IT T3005/T3038	0.000	0.000	16.000	0.000						CONT.

A. (U) Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

PROJECT 52901 - This RDT&E Project funding is, in part, for contract labor support to enhance Standard Labor Distribution Collection and Data Application (SLDCADA) to encompass the Time and Attendance requirements of aviation depots and shipyards and for the Navy Facilities Asset Data Base (NFADB).

PROJECT 62907 - This project will provide an automated information system to track, record, report, and manage aircraft Flight Hour Program (FHP) obligations by aircraft Type/Model/Series. The program will track and identify obligations reported in relation to the FHP. Includes web enabling efforts.

<u>PROJECT</u> <u>12905</u> - Personalized Recruiting for Immediate and Delayed Enlistment (PRIDE) system is an interactive, on-line application that provides the Navy with an orderly and efficient mechanism for channeling accessions into required skill areas and controlling the reservation process. Each day PRIDE supports over 400 users in 71 geographicallocations and is critical to fleet readiness.

PROJECT S2904 - This project consists of funding for Information Technology (IT) support at NAVSEA Headquarters (HQ). It includes modifications/enhancements to IT systems within Headquarters such as: Ships Configuration Logistics Support Information Systems (SCLSIS), Command Document Management System (CDMS) and Integrated Class Maintenance Plans (ICMP). This funding also supports modification to existing software, hardware changes, contractual program management and technical support to modify/enhance the NAVSEA HQ systems and infrastructure. The NAVSEA HQ network infrastructure architecture requires the development, analysis and testing of IT prototypes, such as Remote Access, Data Management and Voice over IP. Also supported is software development and upgrades for IT systems and infrastructure, to include COTS software packages/systems; developmentaltesting and initial operationaltest and evaluation required prior to system acceptance/approval.

PROJECT W2903 - Naval Aviation Logistics Data Analysis Integrated Data Environment (NALDA IDE) provides a central Navy aviation logistics database and Management Information System (MIS) compliant with Computer Aided Logistics Support (CALS) and Defense Information Infrastructure Common Operating Environment (DIICOE) for making improved decisions affecting aircraft logistics acquisition, readiness, safety, configuration management, and logistics/engineeringsupport for the CNO Air Warfare Division. The Office of the Secretary of Defense (OSD) has certified NALDA as the central Naval aviation upline Integrated Logistics Support (ILS) data system. This project also includes the Configuration Management Information System is DoD's standard software system for complete and integrated configuration management of weapon systems from acquisition to disposal.

PROJECT T3005 - National Defense Warehouse.

PROJECT T3038 - E-Business

R-1 SHOPPING LIST - Item No. 147 - 1 of 147 - 35

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification

# **UNCLASSIFIED**

EXHIBIT R-2, RDT&E Buc	get Item Justificatio	n	DATE	:
				June 2001
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY			R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	
RESEARCH DEVELOPMENT TEST & EVALUATION, NAVY	BA-5		NAVY INFO TECH DEV/MO	D 0605013N
3. (U) Program Change Summary	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	
FY 2001 President's Budget: Appropriated Value:	0.000 0.000	15.259 29.259	6.926	
djustment to FY 2000/2001 Apprpriated Value/ FY 2001 Prtesident's Budget	0.000 0.000	2.900 32.159	37.052 43.978	
Funding: Not Applicable				
FY00: N/A FY01: (9.000) Human Resources Enterprise Strategy; (5.000) (300) minor adjustments	Distance Learning I	T Center; (3.2	200) Defense Productivity Soft	ware Initative; (300) minor adjustments
FY02: New Order Writing System (2.297); EMPRESS (5.372); Clean financial statements (2.399); and other adustments		-Business (15	,000); (1.812) paperless acqu	isition;

Schedule: Not Applicable

Technical Not Applicable

R-1 SHOPPING LIST - Item No. 147 - 2 of 142 - 35

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification

## UNCLASSIFIED

	EXH	IIBIT R-2a, RDT&E	Project Ju	stification				DATE:			
									Jur	ne 2001	
APPROPRIATI	ION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	PROGRAM E	LEMENT NAM	IE AND NUMB	ER	PROJECT NA	ME AND NUN	BER .			
RDT&E, N	BA-5	NAVY INFO	TECH DE	V/MOD 06	05013N	AAUSN IT 52	901	ı	ı		
	COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	Cost to Complete	Total Cost
Project Cost	t .	0.000	2.618	3.216	1.380	1.384	1.387	1.392	1.395	1.000	13.772
RDT&E Artic	cles Qty										

### A. MISSION DESCRIPTION AND BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION:.

This RDT&E Project funding is, in part, for contract labor support to enhance Standard Labor Distribution Collection and Data Application (SLDCADA) to encompass the Time and Attendance requirements of aviation depots and shipyards. SLDCADA was selected in April 1999 to be deployed as the Department of Navy standard time and attendance system. SLDCADA met 86% of all Departmental claimancy requirements, was Y2K compliant, had Common Operating Environment (COE) certification and interfaced with all of the legacy payroll and financial management systems. SLDCADA is planned to be fully modified and deployed by the end of FY01 and will satisfy the requirements of Clean Financial Statement.

In 1997 a Deputy Secretary of Defense directive was issued calling for paper-free acquisition processes by 1 January 2000. While the Navy has made significant strides toward this goal, additional capabilities are required. The ASN(RD&A) established the PEO for Acquisition Related Business Systems (ARBS) to manage this major initiative within the DON. While individual claimants are self-financing their specific commands' paper free initiatives, this funding will be used by the PEO office for "Enterprise" (i.e., Navy-wide) paper free programs.

In addition, NFADB funds are necessary to support software modifications to bring DON standard systems into compliance with federal accounting standards in accordance with the Federal Financial Management Improvement Act of 1996 and the Chief Financial Officer's Act of 1990.

- (U) PROGRAM ACCOMPLISHMENTS AND PLANS:
- 1. FY 2000 ACCOMPLISHMENTS: NOT APPLICABLE.
- 2. FY 2001 PLAN:
- (U) (\$2.624) Complete modification of SLDCADA to support shipyard, aviation depot and other non-standard labor cost distribution requirements for time and attendance. Complete deployment Navywide including Phase II to non-shipyard/depot industrial activities and Phase III implementation at the shipyards and MarCorps and Navy depots.

R-1 SHOPPING LIST - Item No. 131 - 3 of 131 - 22

Exhibit R-2a, RDT&E Project Justification (Exhibit R-2a, page 3 of 22)

# **UNCLASSIFIED**

EXHIBIT R-2a, RDT&E Project Justification				DATE:
				June 2001
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	PROGRAM ELEMENT NAME AND NUMBER	!	PROJECT NAME AND NUM	MBER
RDT&E, N BA-5	NAVY INFO TECH DEV/MOD 06050	013N	AAUSN IT 52901	
(U) PROGRAM CHANGE SUMMARY	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	-
FY 2001 President's Budget: Adjustment from FY 01 President's Budget: FY 2002 DON Budget:		2.642 -0.024 2.618	0.000 3.216 3.216	

## CHANGE SUMMARY EXPLANATION:

(U) FUNDING: FY 2001 adjustment is a .7% Pro-Rata reduction persuant to Section 8086 -.018; other minor adjustment -.006.

(U) SCHEDULE: NOT APPLICABLE.

(U) TECHNICAL: NOT APPLICABLE.

в. ОТНЕ	R PROGRAM FUNDING SUMMARY	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	Cost to Complete	Total
O&M,N	Acq and Prog Mgmt (4B3N)	13.884	11.065	9.623	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	.000	34.572
O&M,N	Civ Manpower & Prog Mgmt (4A3M)	5.600	7.137	5.746	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	.000	18.483
O&M,MC	(reimbursable)	1.200	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	1.200
OP, N	BA-7 Command Supt Equip BLI 810600	10.185	1.939	1.150	0.000	0.000	0.000	.000	.000	13.274

## C. ACQUISITION STRATEGY:

Hardware (Sun 6500 series servers) and COTS (Oracle 8i) licenses will be procured using GSA competitive bids referenced in existing Blanket Purchase Agreements (BPAs). Contract labor for requirements definition, design, programming, testing, training development, data base conversion and load, training development and delivery, equipment installation, systems deployment and operations will be procured off existing BPAs.

D. SCHEDULE PROFILE: UNDER DEVELOPMENT.

R-1 SHOPPING LIST - Item No. 131 - 4 of 131 - 22

Exhibit R-2a, RDT&E Project Justification (Exhibit R-2a, page 4 of 22)

# **UNCLASSIFIED**

Exhibit R-3 Cost Analysis (								DATE:				
	page 1)									June 20	01	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET AC	ΓΙVΙΤΥ	PROGR	AM ELEMENT			PROJECT N	IAME AND N	IUMBER				
RDT&E, N BA-5		NAVY	INFO TECH DE	EV/MOD 06	605013N	AAUSN IT 5	2901					
Cost Categories	Contract	Performing	Total		FY 01		FY 02		FY 03			
Tailor to WBS, or System/Item	Method	Activity &	PY s	FY 01	Award	FY 02	Award	FY03	Award	Cost to	Total	Target Value
Requirements)	& Type	Location	Cost	Cost	Date	Cost	Date	Cost	Date	Complete	Cost	of Contract
Electronic Document Access	GSA	KPMG, Wash DC	0.000	1.383	10/00	1.817		1.372		3.000	7.572	
ncillary Hardware Development											0.000	
Systems Engineering											0.000	
icenses											0.000	
ooling											0.000	
GFE											0.000	
J1 L											0.000	
											0.000	
ward Fees			0.000	1.383		1.817		1.372		3.000	7.572	
Award Fees Subtotal Product Development Remarks:			0.000	1.383		1.817		1.372		3.000	7.572	
Award Fees Subtotal Product Development Remarks:											7.572	
Award Fees Subtotal Product Development Remarks:  Development Support Equipment Software Development	IDIQ	HROC, Wash, DC	0.000	1.383	10/00	1.817		1.372		3.000	7.572 0.000 2.241	
Award Fees Subtotal Product Development Remarks:  Development Support Equipment Software Development Training Development	IDIQ	HROC, Wash, DC			10/00						0.000 2.241 0.000	
ward Fees Subtotal Product Development  Remarks:  Development Support Equipment oftware Development raining Development integrated Logistics Support	IDIQ	HROC, Wash, DC			10/00						0.000 2.241 0.000 0.000	
Award Fees Subtotal Product Development  Remarks:  Development Support Equipment Software Development Training Development Integrated Logistics Support Configuration Management	IDIQ	HROC, Wash, DC			10/00						0.000 2.241 0.000 0.000 0.000	
Award Fees Subtotal Product Development Remarks:  Development Support Equipment Software Development Training Development Integrated Logistics Support Configuration Management Technical Data	IDIQ	HROC, Wash, DC			10/00						0.000 2.241 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000	
Award Fees Subtotal Product Development	IDIQ	HROC, Wash, DC			10/00						0.000 2.241 0.000 0.000 0.000	

R-1 SHOPPING LIST - Item No. 131 - 5 of 131 - 22

Exhibit R-3, Project Cost Analysis (Exhibit R-3, page 5 of 22)

# **UNCLASSIFIED**

									DATE:				
Exhibit R-3 Cost Analysis (p											June 20	001	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACT	IVITY		PROGRAM E	LEMENT			PROJECT I	NAME AND N	IUMBER				
RDT&E, N BA-5			<b>NAVY INFO</b>	TECH DE	EV/MOD 0	605013N	AAUSN IT	52901					
Cost Categories	Contract	Performing		Total		FY 01		FY 02		FY 03			
(Tailor to WBS, or System/Item	Method	Activity &		PY s	FY 01	Award	FY 02	Award	FY03	Award	Cost to	Total	Target Value
Requirements)	& Type	Location		Cost	Cost	Date	Cost	Date	Cost	Date	Complete	Cost	of Contract
Developmental Test & Evaluation												0.000	
Operational Test & Evaluation												0.000	
Tooling												0.000	
GFE												0.000	
Subtotal T&E				0.000	0.000		0.000		0.000		0.000	0.000	
Contractor Engineering Support												0.000	
Government Engineering Support												0.000	
	RNTFRW	NAVFACIT, Pt	hueneme, CA		0.393	10/00						0.393	
Program Management Support Travel	RNTFRW	NAVFACIT, Pt	hueneme, CA		0.393	10/00						0.393 0.000	
Program Management Support Travel Labor (Research Personnel)	RNTFRW	NAVFACIT, Pt	hueneme, CA		0.393	10/00						0.393 0.000 0.000	
Program Management Support Travel Labor (Research Personnel) Overhead	RNTFRW	NAVFACIT, Pt	hueneme, CA			10/00						0.393 0.000 0.000 0.000	
Program Management Support Travel Labor (Research Personnel)	RNTFRW	NAVFACIT, Pt	hueneme, CA	0.000	0.393	10/00	0.000		0.000		0.000	0.393 0.000 0.000	
Program Management Support Travel Labor (Research Personnel) Overhead	RNTFRW	NAVFACIT, Pt	hueneme, CA	0.000		10/00	0.000		0.000		0.000	0.393 0.000 0.000 0.000	
-	RNTFRW	NAVFACIT, Pt	hueneme, CA	0.000		10/00	0.000		0.000		0.000	0.393 0.000 0.000 0.000	

R-1 SHOPPING LIST - Item No. 131 - 6 of 131 - 22

Exhibit R-3, Project Cost Analysis (Exhibit R-3, page 6 of 22)

## **UNCLASSIFIED**

EXHIBIT R-2a,	RDT&E Proje	ect Justificat	ion			DATE:					
								Jur	ne 2001		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	PPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY PROGRAM ELEMENT NAME AND NUMBER PR    Navy Information Technology Dev/Mod   PROGRAM   P										
RDT&E, N BA 5	0605013N				SPAWAR IT	62907					
COST (\$ in Millions)		FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	Cost to Complete	Total Cost
Project Cost*								0.000	0.000	0.000	25.825
RDT&E Articles Qty	N/A	N/A	N/A			N/A	1				

NOTE: These efforts were funded in FY00 and prior with O&M,NR fund.

FY01 Project cost includes Congressional add for Human Resource Enterprise Strategy (\$9M); Distance Learning IT Center at California State University (\$5M); and Defense Productivity Software Initiative (\$3.2M). Efforts also support Vice Chief of Naval Operations initiative directing all programs to move rapidly to a web-enabled environment. Web-enabling the NTCSS applications will allow afloat and ashore users to access the applications from any workstation with a web browser. This provides the foundation for synchronized data replication allowing labor intensive tasks to be moved ashore from ships and expeditionary units.

(U) A. MISSION DESCRIPTION AND BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION:

FORECAST: An automated information system to track, record, report, and manage aircraft Flight Hour Prgram (FHP) obligations by aircraft Type/Model/Series. The program will track and identify obligations reported in relation to the FHP.

CVAOS: A web enabled software program that will access a database that contains information about Selected Reserve CV Augment Units manpower

JALIS: This system provides an airlift data collection and analysis system for the purpose of airlift and aircraft management. JALIS supports the objectives and strategies presented in the DoD Transportation Corporate Information Management (CIM) Strategic Plan and Enterprise Integration (EI) Inplementation Strategy as directed for DoD transportation management responsibilities in the USTRANSCOM Joint transportation CIM Center (JTCC) Abbreviated Integration Decision Paper (IDP) for the JALIS.

NOW: This system will improve Reserve retention due to timely receipt of orders and travel arrangements. System will improve orderwriting capability for ADSW and PSRC recall for unified and Fleet CINCSs and speed of

- (U) PROGRAM ACCOMPLISHMENTS AND PLANS:
- 1. FY 2000 PLAN: NOT APPLICABLE
- 2. FY 2001 PLAN: FORECAST will develop an automated information system to track, record, report, and manage aircraft Flight Hour Program (FHP) obligations by aircraft Type/Model/Series. The program will track and identify obligations reported in relation to the FHP.

CVAOS will develop a web enabled software program that will access a database that contains information about Selected Reserve CV Augment Units manpower

JALIS will provide an airlift data collection and analysis system for the purpose of airlift and aircraft management. JALIS supports the objectives and strategies presented in the DoD Transportation Corporate Information Management (CIM) Strategic Plan and Enterprise Integration (EI) Implementation Strategy as directed for DoD transportation management responsibilities in the USTRANSCOM Joint transportation CIM Center (JTCC) Abbreviated Integration Decision Paper (IDP) for the JALIS.

NOW will develop a new order writing system to improve Reserve retention due to timely receipt of orders and travel arrangements. System will improve order writing capability for ADSW and PSRC recall for unified and Fleet CINCSs and speed of Reservist response.

3. FY 2002 PLAN: NOW design, development and testing will continue. This system will provide users and managers with total force readiness and availability for operational planning by providing the means to approve, track and manage order writing more effectively.

JALIS WEB-enabling development to continue. This development effort includes requirements determination support, system analysis, database design, system software development and testing of the system. The JALIS system development will automatically schedule flights on the basis of on-demand requests, priority factors, aircraft availability and other applicable business rules. JALIS supports the Flight Hour Program. Design develop, and test web front end for all NTCSS applications.

(U) PROGRAM CHANGE SUMMARY

FY2001: Section 8086 .7% Pro-rata Reduction (-\$124K), Government-Wide Recission: PL 106-554, Sec.1403 (-\$38K).

# **UNCLASSIFIED**

									DATE:				
Exhibit R-3 Cost Analysis (p.	age 1)										June 20	01	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACT			PROGRAM E	LEMENT			PROJECT	NAME AND N	IUMBER				
RDT&E, N BA 5			NSIPS 06050	13N			SPAWAR 6	52907					
Cost Categories	Contract	Performing	'	Total		FY 01		FY 02		FY 03			
(Tailor to WBS, or System/Item	Method	Activity &		PY s	FY 01	Award	FY 02	Award	FY 03	Award	Cost to	Total	Target Value
Requirements)	& Type	Location		Cost	Cost	Date	Cost	Date	Cost	Date	Complete	Cost	of Contract
Primary Hardware Development												0.000	
Ancillary Hardware Development												0.000	
Systems Engineering												0.000	
Licenses												0.000	
Tooling												0.000	
GFE												0.000	
Award Fees												0.000	
Subtotal Product Development				0.000	0.000		0.000		0.000		0.000	0.000	
Remarks:													
Development Support Equipment												0.000	
Software Development					0.579		2.849					0.000	
Training Development												0.000	
Integrated Logistics Support												0.000	
Configuration Management												0.000	
Technical Data												0.000	
GFE												0.000	
Subtotal Support				0.000	0.579		2.849		0.000		0.000	0.000	

Remarks: FY01 Congressional Add funding not included on the R-3.

R-1 SHOPPING LIST - Item No. 143

Exhibit R-3, Project Cost Analysis (Exhibit R-3, page 2 of 3)

# **UNCLASSIFIED**

									DATE:				
Exhibit R-3 Cost Analysis (pa	ge 2)										June 20	01	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV	TTY		PROGRAM	ELEMENT	0605013N		PROJECT I	NAME AND N	UMBER				
RDT&E, N			NSIPS De	ev/Mod			SPAWAR 6	2907					
Cost Categories	Contract	Performing		Total		FY 01		FY 02		FY 03			
Tailor to WBS, or System/Item	Method	Activity &		PY s	FY 01	Award	FY 02	Award	FY 03	Award	Cost to	Total	Target Val
lequirements)		Location		Cost	Cost	Date	Cost	Date	Cost	Date	Complete	Cost	of Contrac
evelopmental Test & Evaluation	,										,		
perational Test & Evaluation													
ooling													
FE SFE													
Subtotal T&E				0.000	0.000		0.000		0.000		0.000	0.000	
Remarks:													
Remarks:													
Contractor Engineering Support												0.000	
Contractor Engineering Support Covernment Engineering Support												0.000	
contractor Engineering Support covernment Engineering Support trogram Management Support												0.000 0.000	
Contractor Engineering Support Sovernment Engineering Support Program Management Support Travel												0.000 0.000 0.000	
Contractor Engineering Support Government Engineering Support Program Management Support Travel Labor (Research Personnel)												0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000	
Contractor Engineering Support Sovernment Engineering Support Program Management Support Travel abor (Research Personnel)				0.000	0.000		0.000		0.000		0.000	0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000	
Contractor Engineering Support Sovernment Engineering Support Program Management Support Fravel Babor (Research Personnel) Doerhead Subtotal Management				0.000	0.000		0.000		0.000		0.000	0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000	
Contractor Engineering Support Covernment Engineering Support Program Management Support Pravel Babor (Research Personnel) Diverhead				0.000	0.000		0.000		0.000		0.000	0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000	
ontractor Engineering Support iovernment Engineering Support rogram Management Support ravel abor (Research Personnel) iverhead Subtotal Management				0.000	0.000		0.000		0.000		0.000	0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000	
ontractor Engineering Support overnment Engineering Support rogram Management Support ravel abor (Research Personnel) verhead Subtotal Management				0.000	0.000		0.000		0.000		0.000	0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000	
ontractor Engineering Support iovernment Engineering Support rogram Management Support ravel abor (Research Personnel) iverhead Subtotal Management				0.000	0.000		0.000		0.000		0.000	0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000	
Contractor Engineering Support Sovernment Engineering Support rogram Management Support ravel abor (Research Personnel) byerhead Subtotal Management				0.000	0.000		0.000		0.000		0.000	0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000	

R-1 SHOPPING LIST - Item No.143

Exhibit R-3, Project Cost Analysis (Exhibit R-3, page 3 of 3)

## **UNCLASSIFIED**

	EXH	IIBIT R-2a, RDT&E	Project Ju	stification				DATE:			
									Ju	ne 2001	
APPROPRIATI	ION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	PROGRAM E	LEMENT NAM	IE AND NUMB	ER	PROJECT NA	ME AND NUM	1BER			
RDT&E, N	BA-5	NAVY INFO	TECH DE	V/MOD 06	05013N	BUPERS IT E	MPRS - L2905	5	ı	T T	
	COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	Cost to Complete	Total Cost
Project Cost	<u>t</u>	0.000	0.000	5.389	15.345	22.527	9.664	1.632	1.648		56.205
RDT&E Artic	cles Qty										

### A. MISSION DESCRIPTION AND BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION:.

Electronic Military Personnel Records System (EMPRS) is not a new start. These funds were moved from O&M,N to RDT&E,N due to Congressional (HAC) direction and subsequent OUSD(C) guidance to adjust IT budgeting. EMPRS system is an electronic document/imagebased system that serves as the repository for all DON official military personnel record images. It supports retired, active, and reserve components of military personnel in the functional areas of selection board operations, casualty assistance, mobilization, and other military personnel records management functions. In 1995, due to various factors, such as obsolete technology and increased demand, a critical need existed to provide a personnel record system having greater capacity, reliability, efficiency, flexibility, responsiveness, and functionality at lower cost than was achievable with the existing Military Personnel Records System (MPRS). An Optical Imaging System was determined to be the best choice to replace the old microfiche based system. Navy Personnel Command (NPC) designated the Defense Personnel Records Imaging System (DPRIS)-EMPRS as the solution to this operational deficiency. When DPRIS-EMPRS was approved to proceed in 1995, the development resources were procured by awarding two Firm Fixed Price (FFP) contracts to one contractor for system developmentand conversion. Upon completion of the conversion contract in 1998, a production and computer operations support contract with the same contractor was started. Because the MPRS system equipment was fragile, and obsolete, MPRS was replaced during the BRAC-93 mandated move from Washington, DC with DPRIS-EMPRS. DPRIS-EMPRS moved from a development a production system in 4th quarter FY-98, prior to Milestone III approval. Although DPRIS-EMPRS is operational, it is also still in a developmental environment and portions of the OM&N funding must be reappropriated as RDT&E throughout the FYDP.

(U) PROGRAM ACCOMPLISHMENTS AND PLANS:

1. FY 2000 ACCOMPLISHMENTS: NOT APPLICABLE.

2. FY 2001 PLAN: NOT APPLICABLE.

R-1 SHOPPING LIST - Item No. 131 - 3 of 131 - 22

Exhibit R-2a, RDT&E Project Justification (Exhibit R-2a, page 3 of 22)

## **UNCLASSIFIED**

	EXH	IBIT R-2a, RDT&E	Project Ju	stification				DATE:			
									Ju	ne 2001	
APPROPRIATI	ION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	PROGRAM E	LEMENT NAM	ME AND NUMB	ER	PROJECT NA	ME AND NUM	1BER			
RDT&E, N	BA-5	NAVY INFO	TECH DE	V/MOD 06	05013N	BUPERS IT E	MPRS - L2905	5		<del>,</del>	
	COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	Cost to Complete	Total Cost
Project Cost	t	0.000	0.000	5.389	15.345	22.527	9.664	1.632	1.648		56.205
RDT&E Artic	cles Qty										

3. FY 2002 PLAN:

Α

- (U) (\$50) Program Management Office travel associated with stabilization of current system and re-engineering of new system.
- (U) (\$45) Purchase of hardware equipment for the technical refreshment of the development, test, and evaluation environment.
- (U) (\$3,694) Program Management Office and development contract services to support stabilization of current system, development of software and hardware system interface between D EMPRS and OSD activities, and NAVFIT initiative (interface NAVFIT with DPRIS-EMPRS).
- (U) (\$1,600) Program Management Office and development contract services to support re-engineering processes (business analysis, R&D, business design, development, testing, programming, and documentation).

R-1 SHOPPING LIST - Item No. 131 - 3 of 131 - 22

Exhibit R-2a, RDT&E Project Justification (Exhibit R-2a, page 3 of 22)

# **UNCLASSIFIED**

EXHIBIT R-2a, F	RDT&E Project Justification							DATE:		
									June 200	)1
PPROPRIATION/E	BUDGET ACTIVITY	PROGRAM ELI	EMENT NAME	AND NUMBE	R	PROJECT NAM	ME AND NUME	BER		
RDT&E, N	BA-5	NAVY INFO	TECH DEV	MOD 060	5013N	BUPERS IT EM	1PRS - L2905			
R (II) Program (	change Summary:									
s. (U) Flografii (	change Summary.		FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	•				
			2000	2001	2002	•				
Y 2001 Presider			0.000	0.000	0.000	)				
ppropriated Valu					0.770					
ajustment to FY FY 2001 Presid	2000/2001 Appropriated Value/				3.772	1				
50640 Final POM0	_				-0.005					
67521 EMPRS	2 Balance				1.600	)				
	968 Across the Board				0.022					
Y 2002/2003 Pre	esident's Budget:		0	0	5.389	)				
(II) 001.IEB1.II E	NOT ADDI 10 ADI 5									
(U) SCHEDULE:	NOT APPLICABLE.									
(II) TECHNICAL:	: NOT APPLICABLE.									
(0) 1201										
. OTHER PROC	GRAM FUNDING SUMMARY	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	Total	
	O&M,N	11.041	12.580	10.032	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	33.653	
	OPN	11.041	12.300	5.217	0.000		0.000	0.000	5.217	
	<b>3</b>			0.277	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.2	
6 400LUQI <del>T</del> IO	N OTD ATEON									
C. ACQUISITION	N STRATEGY: ers, Raid, Jukeboxes, workstatio	na) COTS lian	naca and sa	ntroot labor	for Drogs	m Managama	nt Office D	ovolonmont (	Office (decide n	rogramming toot
	ers, Raid, Jukeboxes, workstation									iogianining, testi
ninguration ma	nagement, and it computer out	port Office (equi	pineni instali	ation and sys	sterri depio	micini, will be b	nocuieu usii	ig COA Compe	cutive blus.	

R-1 SHOPPING LIST - Item No. 131 - 4 of 131 - 22

Exhibit R-2a, RDT&E Project Justification (Exhibit R-2a, page 4 of 22)

# **UNCLASSIFIED**

								DATE:				
Exhibit R-3 Cost Analysis (pa										June 2	001	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTI	VITY	PROGRAM E	LEMENT			PROJECT N	NAME AND NU	MBER				
RDT&E, N BA-5		NAVY INFO	O TECH DE	EV/MOD 0	605013N	BUPERS IT	EMPRS - L290	)5				
Cost Categories (Tailor to WBS, or System/Item	Method	Performing Activity &	Total PY s	FY 01	FY 01 Award	FY 02	FY 02 Award	FY03	FY 03 Award	Cost to	Total	Target Value
Requirements)	& Type	Location	Cost	Cost	Date	Cost	Date	Cost	Date	Complete	Cost	of Contract
Primary Hardware Development	TBD	NPC Millington				0.067	1-Oct-01	10.546			10.613	
Systems Engineering	TBD	GSA Contractor/NPC Milling	iton			1.720	1-Oct-01	1.440			3.160	
Tooling												
GFE												
Subtotal T&E			0.000	0.000		1.787		11.986			13.773	
	I								I			
Software Development	TBD	GSA Contractor/NPC Milling				1.310	1-Oct-01	1.920			3.230	
Integrated Logistics Support	TBD	GSA Contractor/NPC Milling				1.272	1-Oct-01	0.720			1.992	
Configuration Management	TBD	GSA Contractor/NPC Milling	iton			1.020	1-Oct-01	0.719			1.739	
Travel												
Labor (Research Personnel)  Overhead												
Subtotal Management			0.000	0.000		3.602		3.359			6.961	
Subtotal Management			0.000	0.000		3.002		3.339			0.901	
Total Cost				0.000		5.389		15.345			20.734	
Remarks:												

R-1 SHOPPING LIST - Item No. 131 - 6 of 131 - 22

Exhibit R-3, Project Cost Analysis (Exhibit R-3, page 6 of 22)

## UNCLASSIFIED

	EXH	IIBIT R-2a, RDT&E			DATE:						
						Ju	ne 2001				
APPROPRIATI	ON/BUDGET ACTIVITY	PROGRAM E	LEMENT NAM	ER	PROJECT NA	ME AND NUN	/IBER				
RDT&E, N	BA-5	NAVY INFO	TECH DE	V/MOD 06	05013N	BUPERS IT P	RIDE/NRAMS	- L2905			
	COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	Cost to Complete	Total Cost
Project Cost			5.370	7.000							12.370
RDT&E Artic	cles Qty										

A. MISSION DESCRIPTION AND BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION:. Currently Navy Recruiting is supported by a variety of Information Systems Technologies, many of which are over 20 years old. The three primary systems used for classification are PRIDE, PORT, and RDS. These three are a series of programs written in Fortran and Cobol, which operate off of a mainframe in Mechanicsburg, PA. The data structures involved are difficult for users to work with and the support for the systems is dwindling as they age.

In order to do data analysis, Navy Recruiting personnel are forced to download information into spreadsheets and other PC based databases in order to manipulate the data to provide reports and goaling trends.

Field recruiters use two varieties of contact management programs, RTools and OTools for enlisted and officer recruiters respectively. These programs do not share data with one another, nor do they interface with the classification programs. Further, the classification programs do not interface with the Military Entrance Processing Command (MEPCOM) MEPCOM Integrated Resource System (MIRS), which means that data for recruiters must be reentered manually at least three times.

The goal in the development of NRAMS (Navy Recruiting and Accessions Management System) is to create a single logical system for recruiting. This system will allow both officer and enlisted recruiters to view and manipulate data on potential recruits. Classifiers can use the same program to view and manipulate the same data and classify individuals into Navy fields. This same data will then be transferred electronically into the MIRS system at MEPCOM. At Recruiting Headquarters, analysts will be able to build reports and analyze data from the same system in use by field personnel. This single system will save man-hours in data reentry and transfer as well as provide more accurate and real-time information. It will also enable future improvements such as merging of the recruiter/classifier and the officer/enlisted recruiting roles.

(U) PROGRAM ACCOMPLISHMENTS AND PLANS:

- 1. FY 2000 ACCOMPLISHMENTS: NOT APPLICABLE.
- 2. FY 2001 PLAN:
- (U) (\$600) Completed initial down-select process, identifying 4 vendors to complete operational prototypes for evaluation in the final selection process.

(\$4,770) Conducting the final Source Selection for the NRAMS program. Contract award scheduled for June 2001. Selected vendor will begin development immediately.

R-1 SHOPPING LIST - Item No. 131 - 3 of 131 - 22

Exhibit R-2a, RDT&E Project Justification (Exhibit R-2a, page 3 of 22)

# **UNCLASSIFIED**

	EXH	IBIT R-2a, RDT&	Project Ju			DATE:								
									Jui	ne 2001				
APPROPRIATI	ION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	PROGRAM E	PROGRAM ELEMENT NAME AND NUMBER PROJECT NAME AND N											
RDT&E, N	BA-5	NAVY INFO	NAVY INFO TECH DEV/MOD 0605013N BUPERS IT PRIDE/NRAM							- L2905				
	COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	Cost to Complete	Total Cost			
Project Cost	t	0.000	5.370	7.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	12.370			
RDT&E Artic	cles Qty													

(U) PROGRAM ACCOMPLISHMENTS AND PLANS:

3.	FΥ	20	02	ы	A١	J

(U) (\$7,000) Anticipate completion of development of initial module, replacing PRIDE, PORT and RDS in June 20002. Deployment will take 6-9 months to complete.

R-1 SHOPPING LIST - Item No. 131 - 3 of 131 - 22

Exhibit R-2a, RDT&E Project Justification (Exhibit R-2a, page 3 of 22)

# **UNCLASSIFIED**

DT&E Project Justification						[0	DATE:		
								June 20	01
JDGET ACTIVITY	PROGRAM ELI	EMENT NAME	AND NUMBE	₹	PROJECT NA	ME AND NUME	BER		
BA-5	NAVY INFO	TECH DEV	MOD 0605	013N	BUPERS IT PI	RIDE/NRAMS -	L2905		
oongo Summany									
lange Summary.		FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	•				
		1 1 2000	1 1 2001	1 1 2002	-				
's Budget:		0.000	5.420	0.000	)				
				7.000	)				
			-0.050						
sident's Budget:		0	5.370	7.000	)				
NOT ADDITIONAL E									
NOT APPLICABLE.									
NOT APPLICABLE.									
RAM FUNDING SUMMARY	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003	B FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	Complete	Total
OPN	0 477								0.477
OMN	4.636	1.504	2.701	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000		8.841
OTD ATEON									
STRATEGY:									
ROFILE: UNDER DEVELOPMEN	Γ.								
	DDGET ACTIVITY BA-5  nange Summary:  Is Budget: Is: 000/2001 Appropriated Value/ ent's Budget: MS Unfunded I, 68869 Gov Wide Rescission sident's Budget: NOT APPLICABLE.  NOT APPLICABLE.  RAM FUNDING SUMMARY  OPN OMN  STRATEGY:	DDGET ACTIVITY BA-5  MAVY INFO  mange Summary:  S Budget: S 000/2001 Appropriated Value/ ent's Budget: MS Unfunded G 68869 Gov Wide Rescission sident's Budget:  NOT APPLICABLE.  NOT APPLICABLE.  RAM FUNDING SUMMARY  FY 2000  OPN OMN 0.477 OMN 4.636	DIDGET ACTIVITY BA-5  NAVY INFO TECH DEV NAVY INFO	DIGET ACTIVITY BA-5  PROGRAM ELEMENT NAME AND NUMBER NAVY INFO TECH DEV/MOD 0605  PROGRAM ELEMENT NAME AND NUMBER NAVY INFO TECH DEV/MOD 0605  PROGRAM ELEMENT NAME AND NUMBER NAVY INFO TECH DEV/MOD 0605  FY 2001  FY 2000 FY 2001  S Budget:  0.000/2001 Appropriated Value/ Port's Budget:  MS Unfunded Se869 Gov Wide Rescission Sident's Budget:  NOT APPLICABLE.  RAM FUNDING SUMMARY FY 2000 FY 2001 FY 2002  OPN 0.477 OMN 1.504 2.701  STRATEGY:	PROGRAM ELEMENT NAME AND NUMBER   NAVY INFO TECH DEV/MOD   0605013N	PROGRAM ELEMENT NAME AND NUMBER   PROJECT NAME	PROGRAM ELEMENT NAME AND NUMBER   PROJECT NAME AND NUMB   BA-5   NAVY INFO TECH DEV/MOD   0605013N   BUPERS IT PRIDE/NRAMS - NAME   PROJECT NAME AND NUMB   BUPERS IT PRIDE/NRAMS - NAME   PROJECT NAME AND NUMB   BUPERS IT PRIDE/NRAMS - NAME   PROJECT NAME AND NUMB   BUPERS IT PRIDE/NRAMS - NAME   PROJECT NAME AND NUMB   BUPERS IT PRIDE/NRAMS - NAME   PROJECT NAME AND NUMB   BUPERS IT PRIDE/NRAMS - NAME   PROJECT NAME AND NUMB   BUPERS IT PRIDE/NRAMS - NAME   PROJECT NAME AND NUMB   BUPERS IT PRIDE/NRAMS - NAME   PROJECT NAME AND NUMB   BUPERS IT PRIDE/NRAMS - NAME   PROJECT NAME AND NUMB   BUPERS IT PRIDE/NRAMS - NAME   PROJECT NAME AND NUMB   BUPERS IT PRIDE/NRAMS - NAME   PROJECT NAME AND NUMB   BUPERS IT PRIDE/NRAMS - NAME   PROJECT NAME AND NUMB   PROJECT NAM	PROGRAM ELEMENT NAME AND NUMBER   PROJECT NAME AND NUMBER   BA-5   NAVY INFO TECH DEV/MOD   0605013N   PROJECT NAME AND NUMBER   BUPERS IT PRIDE/NRAMS - L2905	STRATEGY:

R-1 SHOPPING LIST - Item No. 131 - 4 of 131 - 22

Exhibit R-2a, RDT&E Project Justification (Exhibit R-2a, page 4 of 22)

# **UNCLASSIFIED**

								DATE:				
Exhibit R-3 Cost Analysis (pa	age 1)									June 20	01	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGÉT ACTI		PROGRAM	ELEMENT			PROJECT N	IAME AND N	JMBER				
RDT&E, N BA-5		NAVY INF	O TECH DE	EV/MOD 06	605013N	BUPERS IT	PRIDE/NRAM	/IS - L2905				
Cost Categories	Contract	Performing	Total		FY 01		FY 02		FY 03			
(Tailor to WBS, or System/Item	Method	Activity &	PY s	FY 01	Award	FY 02	Award	FY03	Award	Cost to	Total	Target Value
Requirements)	& Type	Location	Cost	Cost	Date	Cost	Date	Cost	Date	Complete	Cost	of Contract
Electronic Document Access											0.000	0.000
Ancillary Hardware Development											0.000	0.000
Systems Engineering	TBD	NAVRESO/EO Millington		0.300	TBD	0.370	TBD				0.670	0.670
Licenses											0.000	0.000
Tooling											0.000	0.000
GFE											0.000	0.000
Award Fees											0.000	0.000
Subtotal Product Development			0.000	0.300		0.370		0.000		0.000	0.670	0.670
Remarks:  Development Support Equipment	1	I	1	ı	ı	ı	1	1	ı		0.000	_
Software Development	TBD	NAVRESO/EO Millington		4.218	TBD	5.564					9.782	9.782
Training Development	TBD	NAVRESO/EO Millington		0.082	TBD	0.106					0.188	0.188
Integrated Logistics Support	TBD	NAVRESO/EO Millington		0.370	TBD	0.460					0.830	0.830
Configuration Management	TBD	NAVRESO/EO Millington		0.400	TBD	0.500					0.900	0.900
Technical Data											0.000	0.000
GFE											0.000	0.000
Subtotal Support			0.000	5.070		6.630		0.000		0.000	11.700	11.700
Total Cost				5.370		7.000		0.000		0.000	12.370	12.370

Remarks:			

R-1 SHOPPING LIST - Item No. 131 - 5 of 131 - 22

Exhibit R-3, Project Cost Analysis (Exhibit R-3, page 5 of 22)

# **UNCLASSIFIED**

	EXHIBIT	R-2a, RDT&	E Project Ju				DATE:				
								Jui	ne 2001		
APPROPRIATION/BUD	DGET ACTIVITY	PROGRAM E	LEMENT NAM	ER	PROJECT NA	ME AND NUN	/IBER				
RDT&E, N	BA-5	NAVY INFO	TECH DE	V/MOD PE:	0605013N	NAVSEA IT D	NAVSEA IT DEV/MOD PROJECT S2904				
COS	ST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	Cost to Complete	Total Cost
Project Cost		0.000	5.171	5.415	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	CONT.	NAV
RDT&E Articles Qty	У										

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification: This is not a new start. These funds were moved from O&M,N to RDT&E,N due to Congressional (HAC) direction and subsequent OUSD(C) guidance to addjust Information Technology (IT) budgeting. This program includes the funding for Information Technology (IT) support at NAVSEA HQ. This includes modifications/enhancements to IT systems within Headquarters such as Ships Configuration Logistics Support Information Systems (SCLSIS), Command Document Management System (CDMS) and Integrated Class Maintenance Plans (ICMP). This funding also supports modification to existing software, hardware changes, contractual program management and technical support to modify/enhancethe NAVSEA HQ systems and infrastructure. The NAVSEA HQ network infrastructure architecture requires the development, analysis and testing of IT prototypes, such as Remote Access, Data Management and Voice over IP. Also supported is software developmentand upgrades for IT systems and infrastructure, to include COTS software packages/systems; developmental testing and initial operational test and evaluation required prior to system acceptance/approval.

(U) Program Accomplishments and Plans:

(U) FY 2000 Plan:

## FY 2001 PLAN:

- (U) \$1.320 Miscellaneous Technical Support Infrastructure Enhancement
- (U) \$ .160 Integration Class Maintenance Plans (ICMP)
- (U) \$ .255 Ships Configuration Logistics Support Information Systems (SCLSIS)
- (U) \$2.010 Command Document Management System (CDMS)
- (U) \$1.226 Various Software Development
- (U) \$.200 Software Development Upgrades

R-1 SHOPPING LIST - Item No. 142 - 13 of 142 - 21

Exhibit R-2a, RDT&E Project Justification

**UNCLASSIFIED** 

Enclosure (12) Page 18 of 35

# **UNCLASSIFIED**

EXHIBIT	R-2a, RDT&E Pro	oject Justificat	ion		DATE:	June 2001
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	PROGRAM ELEME	NT NAME AND	NUMBER	PROJECT NA	ME AND NUMBER	Ouric 2001
RDT&E, N	NAVY INFO TE				EV/MOD/Project S2904	
FY 2002 PLAN  (U) \$1.320 Miscellaneous Technical Support - Infrastr  (U) \$.160 Integration Class Maintenance Plans (ICN  (U) \$.255 Ships Configuration Logistics Support Info  (U) \$2.047 Command Document Management Syster  (U) \$1.199 Various Software Development  (U) \$.200 Software Development Upgrades  (U) \$.234 NMCI	ructure Enhancement MP) rmation Systems (SCL				,	
(U) PROGRAM CHANGE SUMMARY	FY2000	FY2001	FY2002			
FY2001 President's Budget: Appropriated Value:			-0-	5.219	5.160	
Adjustment to FY 2000/2001 Apprpriated Value/ PBD 604: NON - PAY Inflation				011	.018 009	
NMCI - REIMBURSABLE FUNDING				037	006 .234	
FY 2001 Prtesident's Budget			-0-	5.171	5.415	
B. (U) Other Program Funding Summary: FY 2001 .7% across the board recission (037); other a FY 2002: other adjustment (.021); NMCI adjustment (.  C. (U) Acquistion Strategy: Under Development.						
D. (U) Schedule:						

R-1 SHOPPING LIST - Item No. 142 - 14 of 142 - 21

Exhibit R-2a, RDT&E Project Justification

# **UNCLASSIFIED**

Enclosure (12) Page 19 of 35

# **UNCLASSIFIED**

									DATE:				
Exhibit R-3 Cost Analysis (pa	age 1)								Jui	ne 2001			
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTI	VITY		PROGRAM I	ELEMENT			PROJECT	PROJECT NAME AND NUMBER					
RDT&E, N				0605013N	1		NAVSEA IT DEV/MOD/Project S2904						
Cost Categories	Contract	Performing		Total		FY 00		FY 01		FY 02			
(Tailor to WBS, or System/Item	Method	Activity &		PY s	FY 00	Award	FY 01	Award	FY 02	Award	Cost to	Total	Target Value
Requirements)	& Type	Location		Cost	Cost	Date	Cost	Date	Cost	Date	Complete	Cost	of Contract
Primary Hardware Development	Various	Various					0.190		0.190		CONT.	0.380	
Ancillary Hardware Development												0.000	
Systems Engineering												0.000	
Licenses												0.000	
Tooling												0.000	
GFE												0.000	
Award Fees												0.000	
Subtotal Product Development				0.000	0.000		0.190		0.190		CONT.	0.380	

Remarks: Various is being used in the Contract Method & Type, plus Performing Activity & Location because of numerous project initiations and implementation.

Development Support Equipment								0.000	
Software Development	Various	Various			0.578	0.513	CONT.	1.091	
Training Development								0.000	
Integrated Logistics Support								0.000	
Configuration Management								0.000	
Technical Data								0.000	
GFE								0.000	
Subtotal Support			0.000	0.000	0.578	0.513	CONT.	1.091	

Remarks:

R-1 SHOPPING LIST - Item No. 142 - 15 of 142 - 21

Exhibit R-3, Project Cost Analysis (Exhibit R-3, page 5 of 6)

# **UNCLASSIFIED**

Enclosure (12) Page 20 of 35

# **UNCLASSIFIED**

E 1777 B 0 0 1 4 4 1 1 1 1	0)								DATE:	0004			
Exhibit R-3 Cost Analysis (pa			2222244515151				222			e 2001			
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV	/ITY		PROGRAM ELEM	MENT			PROJECT N	NAME AND N	IUMBER				
RDT&E, N			0605013N				NAVSEA IT	DEV/MOD/P	roject S2904				
Cost Categories	Contract	Performing	To			FY 00		FY 01		FY 02			
(Tailor to WBS, or System/Item	Method	Activity &	PY	′ s	FY 00	Award	FY 01	Award	FY 02	Award	Cost to	Total	Target Valu
Requirements)	& Type	Location	Co	ost	Cost	Date	Cost	Date	Cost	Date	Complete	Cost	of Contract
Developmental Test & Evaluation	Various	Various					1.372		1.606			CONT.	
Operational Test & Evaluation							0.100		0.100			CONT.	
Tooling													
GFE													
Subtotal T&E				0.000	0.000		1.472		1.706		0.000	CONT.	
Contractor Engineering Support	C/FP	Various					1.630		1.630			CONT.	
Government Engineering Support	0/50						4.040		1.010			CONT	
Program Management Support	C/FP	Various					1.312		1.349			CONT.	
Travel													
Labor (Research Personnel)  Overhead													
Subtotal Management				0.000	0.000		2.942		2.979		0.000		
Remarks:	'												
Total Cost							5.182		5.388				
Remarks:													

R-1 SHOPPING LIST - Item No. 142 - 16 of 142 - 21

Exhibit R-3, Project Cost Analysis

# **UNCLASSIFIED**

Enclosure (12) Page 21 of 35

#### CLASSIFICATION:

	EXHIBIT R-2a,	RDT&E Pro	ject Justifica	ation				DATE:			
									Jur	ne 2001	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY		PROGRAM EI	LEMENT NUMI	BER AND NAM	1E	PROJECT NU	MBER AND N	AME			
RDT&E, N / BA-5	0605013N - N	Navy Informatio	on Technology	Dev/Mod		W2903 - NAV	AIR IT/CMIS IT	•			
	Prior										Total
COST (\$ in Millions)	Year Cost	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	Cost to Complete	Program
Project Cost	0.000	0.000	1.378	4.109	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	Continuing	Continuin
RDT&E Articles Qty								· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

#### (U) A. MISSION DESCRIPTION AND BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION:

Naval Aviation Logistics Data Analysis Integrated Data Environment (NALDA IDE) provides a central Navy aviation logistics database and Management Information System (MIS compliant with Computer Aided Logistics Support (CALS) and Defense Information Infrastructure Common Operating Environment (DII COE) for making improved decisions affecting aircraft logistics acquisition, readiness, safety, configuration management, and logistics/engineering support for the CNO Air Warfare Division. The Office of the Secretary of Defense (OSD) has certified NALDA as the central Naval Aviation upline Integrated Logistics Support (ILS) data system. NALDA currently provides users with critically needed data access and analysis of maintenance, operations, safety, supportability, and readiness information. Funding is budgeted to support the services of system migration from legacy systems into NALDA IDE, and for development of the NALDA IDE data warehouse.

The Configuration Management Information System (CMIS) Program is DoD's standard software system for complete and integrated configuration management of weapon systems from acquisition to disposal. CMIS provides users with a common database infrastructure to ensure compatibility, and consistency of CM processes and provides configuration managers and analysts the validated CM information necessary for accurate maintenance, spare procurements, reliability and safety analysis, and mission readiness. Funding is budgeted to support the services of rehosting and testing of COTS upgrades to ensure objective performance of CMIS is achieved.

- (U) PROGRAM ACCOMPLISHMENTS AND PLANS:
- 1. FY 2000 ACCOMPLISHMENTS: Not Applicable
- 2. FY 2001 PLANS:
  - (U) (\$1.331) NALDA System migration from legacy configuration management systems into the DOD Configuration Management Information System (CMIS).
  - (U) (\$.047) Portion of extramural program reserved for Small Business Innovation Research assessment in accordance with 15 USC 68.
- 3. FY 2002 PLANS:
- (U) (\$1. 254) NALDA System migration from legacy configuration management systems into the DOD Configuration Management Information System (CMIS) under Naval Aviation Logistics Data Analysis Integrated Data Environment (NALDA IDE) data warehouse development.
  - (U) (\$2.855) CMIS Re-baseline CMIS software to upgrade latest version of Oracle and evolve an open standard based interface to other systems.

## **CLASSIFICATION:**

EXHIBIT I	EXHIBIT R-2a, RDT&E Project Justification									
	•									
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER AND NAME	PROJECT NUMBER AND N	IAME							
RDT&E, N / BA-5	**RE, N / BA-5 0605013N - Navy Information Technology Dev/Mod W2903 - NAVAIR IT/CN									

## (U) B. PROGRAM CHANGE SUMMARY:

	FY2000	FY2001	FY2002
(U) FY 2001 President's Budget:	0	1.394	1.261
(U) Adjustments from President's Budget:	0	-0.016	2.848
(U) FY 2002 President's Budget Submit:	0	1.378	4.109

### PROGRAM CHANGE SUMMARY:

## (U) Funding:

FY 2001 net decrease of \$.016 million reflects a decrease of \$.010 million for a Congressional Reduction, a decrease of \$.003 million for reprioritization of requirements within the Navy, and a decrease of \$.003 million for a Congressional Recission.

FY 2002 net increase of \$2.848 million reflects a realignment of \$2.870 million from O&M,N, a decrease of \$.029 million for a reprioritization of requirements within the Navy, and an increase of \$.007 million for economic assumptions.

(U) Schedule: Not Applicable

(U) C. OTHER PROGRAM FUNDING SUMMARY: Not Applicable

## **CLASSIFICATION:**

			EXHIBIT R-2a, RDT	&E Project Justification		DATE: <b>June 2001</b>
APPR	OPRIATION	I/BUDGET ACTIVIT	Y PROGRAM E	LEMENT NUMBER AND NAME	PROJECT NUMBER AND NAM	
RDT8	&E, N /	BA-5	0605013N - N	lavy Information Technology Dev/Mod	W2903 NAVAIR/CMIS IT	
		TION STRATEGY:	Contractor services will be used to	perform this work. Legacy configura	ation management systems will be mig	grated in CMIS under NALDA IDE in an Oracle environment.
(0)	. SCHEDU	LE PROFILE:				
NALDA	١-		FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	TO COMPLETE
NALDA	_	m Milestones		4-Q/01 - Complete migration of 3 med-large legacy systems to CMIS	4-Q/02 - System migration continues. Data warehouse started.	
	(U) Engine	eering Milestones				
	(U) T&E M	lilestones		4-Q/01 - Complete operational evaluation of CMIS after legacy system migrations	4-Q/02 - Complete operational evaluation of CMIS after migrations. Data warehouse evaluation.	
	(U) Contra	ct Milestones			2-Q/02 Contract Award	
CMIS:			FY 2000	<u>FY 2001</u>	FY 2002	TO COMPLETE
CIVIIS.	(U) Progra	m Milestones			4-Q/02 - Software Release 5.2.4 - Re-baseline CMIS SW to upgrade latest version of Oracle and evolve an open standard based interface to other systems.	
	(U) Engine	eering Milestones			2-Q/02 TRB/CCB/CAT	
	(U) T&E M	lilestones			3 & 4-Q/02 TRR/FPT/BETA	
	(U) Contra	ct Milestones			2-Q/02 Contract Award	
				D. A. OLIODDINIO LIOT. III.		

R-1 SHOPPING LIST - Item No. 142

**UNCLASSIFIED** 

Exhibit R-2a, RDTEN Project Justification (Exhibit R-2a, page 24 of 35)

#### CLASSIFICATION:

						DATE:							
Exhibit R-3 Cost A	nalysis (pa	ge 1)									June 2001	I	
APPROPRIATION/BU				PROGRAM E	LEMENT			PROJECT NU	IMBER AND NAME				
RDT&E, N /	BA-5			Navy Informat	tion Technology	Dev/Mod 060	5013N	PU W2903 NA	AVAIR/CMIS IT				
Cost Categories			Performing Activity &		Total PY s	FY 01	FY 01 Award	FY 02	FY 02 Award			Total	Target Value
		& Type	Location			Cost	Date		Date	Т	o Complete	Cost	of Contract
Subtotal Product Devel	opment				0.000	0.000		0.000					

Remarks:

SW Development (NALDA)	C/CPAF	TBD		1.331	11/00	1.254	11/01		Continuing	Continuing
SW Development (CMIS)	C/CPAF	TBD				2.855	11/01		Continuing	Continuing
SBIR Assessment				0.047						
Award Fee (NON ADD) (10%) NALDA *				0.139		0.126				
Award Fee (NON ADD) (10%) CMIS *						0.285				
Subtotal Support			0.000	1.378		4.109			Continuing	Continuing

Remarks: Funding will be used to migrate legacy configuration management systems into the DOD Configuration Management Information System (CMIS), under NALDA IDE in the Oracle environment, and rehosting and testing of COTS upgrades to ensure objective performance of the CMIS system is achieved.

<sup>\*</sup> Contract Award Fees range from 3% to 10%. The amount of the Award Fees listed for NALDA and CMIS are included in the SW Development cost amount for each FY for both NALDA and CMIS.

#### **CLASSIFICATION:**

EXH	EXHIBIT R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification											
									Jui	ne 2001		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY						R-1 ITEM NO	MENCLATUR	E				
RESEARCH DEVELOPMENT TEST & EVAL	<u>JATION, NAV</u>	Y / BA-5	Engineerin	ig & Manufa	acturing	0605013N Na	vy IT DEV/I	MOD				
	Prior										Total	
COST (\$ in Millions)	Year Cost	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	Cost to Complete	Program	
Total PE0605013N Cost		0.000	0.000	16.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	Continuing	Continuing	
E-Business		0.000	0.000	15.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000			
Clean Financial Statements		0.000	0.000	1.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000			
Quantity of RDT&E Articles Not Applicable												

- (U) A. MISSION DESCRIPTION AND BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION: The DoN Electronic Business (eBusiness) Operations Office was established on 1 October 2000 to serve as a catalyst for implementing and integrating DON eBusiness efforts, and harnessing emerging uses of electronic business (both from within the whole of government as well as private industry), and making DON processes more efficient and effective. The Office provides a consistent and integrated management approach and facilitates the evolution to future technological solutions, a center of eBusiness innovation for the Navy and Marine Corps. The DON eBusiness Operations Office, as chartered by the Secretary of the Navy, is responsible for acting as the DON center for innovation as well as managing card programs and selected electronic transaction systems. Office responsibilities include:
- Act as clearinghouse for eBusiness best business practices and serve as an import/export agent, identifying industry and government innovations and broadcasting them DON-wide. Identify opportunities within DON to implement new eBusiness solutions and facilitate the integration of existing similar eBusiness initiatives.
- Provide consulting services for DON organizations implementing eBusiness solutions to include Information Assurance considerations and DON architecture and Interoperability standards.
- Support functional business process owners in developing eBuiness Implementation Plans
- Develop and administer a process to invest in pilot projects to foster the implementation of innovative eBusiness solutions throughout the Department.
- Manage all DON card programs, consolidate where appropriate, and evolve to use of future technological solutions to create efficiency and coordinate with DON customers to improve support from private sector financial institutions.
- Develop a comprehensive, outcome based metric collection and management program
- (U)B. JUSTIFICATION OF BUDGET ACTIVITY: FY 02 E-Business funding was obtained by PBD 426 issued during the FY 02 OSD Budget Review.
- (U) A. MISSION DESCRIPTION AND BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION: National Defense Data Warehouse Funds provide for the development of a data warehouse to account/inventory all ships, airplanes, satellites, missiles, etc. ASN(RDA) has oversight, with NAVSUP running the warehouse. Funding is for contractor support, hardware/software, and systems interfaces.
- (U)B. JUSTIFICATION OF BUDGET ACTIVITY: FY 02 Defense Data Wharehouse funding was obtained by Issue 67605 (Clean Financial Statements) during the FY 02 OSD Budget Review.

#### CLASSIFICATION:

	EXHIBIT R-2a,	RDT&E Pro	ject Justifica	ation				DATE:			
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	OPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER AND NAME PROJECT NUMBER AND NA										
<b>T&amp;E, N / BA-5</b> 0605013N Navy IT Dev / Mod T3038 E-Business											
	Prior										Total
COST (\$ in Millions)	Years Cost	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	Cost to Complete	Program
Project Cost	0.000	0.000	0.000	15.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	15.000
RDT&E Articles Qty											

(U) A. MISSION DESCRIPTION AND BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION:

(U) PROGRAM ACCOMPLISHMENTS AND PLANS:

- 1. FY 2000 ACCOMPLISHMENTS: Not Applicable
- 2. FY 2001 PLANS: Not Applicable
- 3. FY 2002 PLANS: Execute between 30 and 50 pilot projects. Pilot project execution consists principally of proof of concept approach. (U) (\$15.000)
- 4. FY 2003 PLANS: Not Applicable

## **CLASSIFICATION:**

EXHIBIT	R-2a, RDT&E	Project Ju	stification				DATE:	
								June 2001
PPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	PROGRAM EL	EMENT NU	MBER AND N	IAME		NUMBER AND	NAME	
RDT&E, N / BA-5	0605013N Nav	y IT Dev / Mo	bd		T3038 E-E	Business		
(U) B. PROGRAM CHANGE SUMMARY: (Show total for (U) FY 2001 President's Budget: (U) Appropriated Value: (U) Adjustments from the FY 2001 President's Budget:	rnding, schedule, FY2000 0 0 0	FY2001 0 0 0	FY200	02 0 0	element that ha	ave occurred s	ince the last P	President's submission.
U) FY 2002 President's Budget Submit:	0	0	1500	0				
CHANGE SUMMARY EXPLANATION:  (U) Funding: FY 02 funding received by PBD (	426 during FY 02	OSD Budget						
(U) Schedule: Not Applicable								
(U) Technical: Not Applicable								
J) C. OTHER PROGRAM FUNDING SUMMARY: <u>Line Item No. &amp; Name</u> FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	To Complete Total Cost
Not Applicable								

## CLASSIFICATION:

	EXHIBIT R-2a, RDT	RE Project Justification			DATE:	
						June 2001
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	PROGRAM ELEN	IENT NUMBER AND NAME		PROJECT NUMBER AND	NAME	
RDT&E, N / BA-5	0605013N Navy I	Γ Dev / Mod		T3038 E-Business		
(U) D. ACQUISITION STRATEGY: * An explanat	tion of acquistion, managen	nent, and contracting strategies shall b	e provided for	each project.		
(U) E. SCHEDULE PROFILE: * Include the prograthrough budget years. The chart should display a Evaluation for the total program by quarter showing	II planned major milestones	and test and evaluation events, such	as LRIP appro	oval, Milestone III, IOC, De		
Program managers may choose to provide a miles  1. Milestones displayed on the chart mu  2. The chart must be incorporated in this	ust support this budget.	leting this section. If a milestone chart	t is submitted,	the following criteria must	be met:	
	FY 2000	FY 2001		FY 2002	FY 2003	TO COMPLETE
(U) Program Milestones				TBD		
(U) Engineering Milestones						
(U) T&E Milestones						
(U) Contract Milestones						
* Not required for Budget Activities 1, 2, 3, and 6.						

R-1 SHOPPING LIST - Item No.

78

## CLASSIFICATION:

								DATE:				
Exhibit R-3 Cost Analysis (page 2	)									June 2001		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY			PROGRAM ELEMENT			PROJECT NU		AME				
RDT&E, N / BA-5			0605013N Navy IT Dev / Mod			T3038 E-Bus						
Cost Categories	Contract	Performing	Total		FY 01		FY 02		FY 03			
(Tailor to WBS, or System/Item Requirements)	Method & Type	Activity & Location			Award Date		Award Date	FY 03 Cost	Award Date		Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Developmental Test & Evaluation	& Type	Location	Cost	Cost	Date	15.000		Cost	Date	Complete	15.000	
Operational Test & Evaluation						13.000					0.000	
Tooling											0.000	
GFE											0.000	
Subtotal T&E			0.000	0.000		15.000		0.000	1	0.000	15.000	
Remarks:												
Contractor Engineering Support											0.000	
Government Engineering Support											0.000	
Program Management Support											0.000	
Travel											0.000	
Labor (Research Personnel)											0.000	
Overhead											0.000	
Subtotal Management			0.000	0.000		0.000		0.000	)	0.000	0.000	
Remarks:												
Total Cost			#REF!	#REF!		#REF!		#REF!		#REF!	#REF!	
Remarks:												

	DATE:												
	June 2001												
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER AND NAME PROJECT NUMBER AND								AME					
RDT&E, N / BA-5	05013N Navy I	05013N Navy IT Dev\Mod						Clean Financial Statements					
	Prior										Total		
COST (\$ in Millions)	Years Cost	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	Cost to Complete	Program		
Project Cost	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.00		
RDT&E Articles Qty													

(U) A. MISSION DESCRIPTION AND BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION: National Defense Data Warehouse - Funds provide for the development of a data warehouse to account/inventory all ships, airplanes, satellites, missiles, etc. ASN(RDA) has oversight, with NAVSUP running the warehouse. Funding is for contractor support, hardware/software, and systems interfaces.

## (U) PROGRAM ACCOMPLISHMENTS AND PLANS:

- 1. FY 2000 ACCOMPLISHMENTS: Not Applicable
- 2. FY 2001 PLANS: Not Applicable
- 3. FY 2002 PLANS:
  - (U) (\$1.000) The development of a data warehouse in FY 02.
- 4. FY 2003 PLANS: Not Applicable

Summary   Program   Prog
R. PROGRAM CHANGE SUMMARY: (Show total funding, schedule, and technical changes for the program element that have occurred since the last President's submission.  FY2000 FY2001 FY2002 FY2003  FY2001 President's Budget:  0 0 0 0 0  Appropriated Value:  0 0 0 1000  Adjustments from the President's Budget:  0 0 0 1000  AT 2002/2003 DON Budget Submit:  0 0 0 1000  CHANGE SUMMARY EXPLANATION:  (U) Funding:  (U) Schedule: Not Applicable
B. PROGRAM CHANGE SUMMARY: (Show total funding, schedule, and technical changes for the program element that have occurred since the last President's submission.  FY2000 FY2001 FY2002 FY2003  FY 2001 President's Budget:  0 0 0 0 0 Appropriated Value: 0 0 0 0 0 Adjustments from the President's Budget: 0 0 1000 0 FY 2002/2003 DON Budget Submit: 0 0 1000 0 HANGE SUMMARY EXPLANATION:  (U) Funding:  (U) Schedule: Not Applicable
FY 2001 President's Budget:  0 0 0 0 0 Appropriated Value: 0 0 0 0 0 Adjustments from the President's Budget: 0 0 0 1000 0 Adjustments from the President's Budget: 0 0 0 1000 0 FY 2002/2003 DON Budget Submit: 0 0 1000 0 HANGE SUMMARY EXPLANATION:  (U) Funding:  (U) Schedule: Not Applicable
FY 2001 President's Budget:  0 0 0 0 0 Appropriated Value: 0 0 0 0 0 Adjustments from the President's Budget: 0 0 0 1000 0 Adjustments from the President's Budget: 0 0 0 1000 0 FY 2002/2003 DON Budget Submit: 0 0 1000 0 HANGE SUMMARY EXPLANATION:  (U) Funding:  (U) Schedule: Not Applicable
FY 2001 President's Budget:  O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O
Appropriated Value: 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Adjustments from the President's Budget:  0 0 1000 0 FY 2002/2003 DON Budget Submit:  0 0 1000 0 HANGE SUMMARY EXPLANATION:  (U) Funding:  (U) Schedule: Not Applicable
FY 2002/2003 DON Budget Submit: 0 0 1000 0  CHANGE SUMMARY EXPLANATION:  (U) Funding:  (U) Schedule: Not Applicable
HANGE SUMMARY EXPLANATION:  (U) Funding:  (U) Schedule: Not Applicable
(U) Funding:  (U) Schedule: Not Applicable
(U) Schedule: Not Applicable
(U) Technical: Not Applicable
(U) Lechnical: Not Applicable
C. OTHER PROGRAM FUNDING SUMMARY:
<u>Line Item No. &amp; Name</u> FY 2000 FY 2001 FY 2002 FY 2003 FY 2004 FY 2005 FY 2006 FY 2007 To Complete Total Cost
Not Applicable

		EXHIBIT R-2a, RDT&E Proj	ect Justification	DATE:						
	NET 4 OT 1 (17) (	220024451545474	110ED AND MARK	DD0 1507 NUMBED AND	June 2001					
PPROPRIATION/BUDG		PROGRAM ELEMENT NU	MBER AND NAME		PROJECT NUMBER AND NAME  Clean Financial Statements					
RDT&E, N /	BA-5	05013N Navy IT Dev\Mod		Clean Financial Statem						
(U) D. ACQUISITION S	TRATEGY: * An e	xplanation of acquistion, management, and	contracting strategies shall b	pe provided for each project.						
hrough budget years. 7	The chart should di	e program milestone chart that reflects Progra splay all planned major milestones and test a arter showing both beginning and ending time	and evaluation events, such	as LRIP approval, Milestone III, IOC, Dev	elopment Test Evaluation					
1. Milestones		a milestone chart instead of completing this hart must support this budget. d in this Excel file.	section. If a milestone char	t is submitted, the following criteria must b	pe met:					
		FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003	TO COMPLETE				
(U) Program Miles	stones	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Unknown at this Time	Not Applicable					
(U) Engineering M	Milestones	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Unknown at this Time	Not Applicable					
(U) T&E Milestones		Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Unknown at this Time	Not Applicable					
(U) Contract Mileston	nes	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Unknown at this Time	Not Applicable					
Remarks:										
* Not required for Budg	get Activities 1, 2, 3	and 6.								

									DATE:				
Exhibit R-3 Cost Analysis (page 1)										June 2	001		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV	PROGRAM E	LEMENT			PROJECT NUMBER AND NAME								
RDT&E, N / BA-5			05013N Navy				Clean Financial Statements						
Cost Categories	Contract			Total		FY 01		FY 02		FY 03			
(Tailor to WBS, or System/Item	Method	Activity &		PY s	FY 01	Award	FY 02	Award	FY 03	Award	Cost to	Total	Target Value
Requirements)	& Type	Location		Cost	Cost	Date	Cost	Date	Cost	Date	Complete	Cost	of Contract
Primary Hardware Development													0.000
Ancillary Hardware Development													0.000
Systems Engineering													0.000
Licenses													0.000
Tooling													0.000
GFE													0.000
Award Fees													0.000
Subtotal Product Development				0.000	0.000	)	0.00	0	(	0.000		0.000	0.000
				1		1							
Development Support Equipment													0.000
Software Development							0.20	0					0.200
Training Development													0.000
Integrated Logistics Support													0.000
Configuration Management													0.000
Technical Data													0.000
GFE													0.000
Subtotal Support				0.000	0.000	)	0.20	0	(	0.000		0.000	0.200
Remarks:													

									DATE:							
Exhibit R-3 Cost Analysis (page 2)										June 2001						
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV	PROGRAM ELEMENT PROJECT NUMBER AND N							IAME								
RDT&E, N / BA-5	05013N Navy		od		Clean F	inancial Staten										
Cost Categories	Contract	Performing		Total		FY 01		FY 02		FY 03			_			
(Tailor to WBS, or System/Item	Method	Activity &		PY s	FY 01	Award	FY 02	Award	FY 03	Award	Cost to	Total	Target Value			
Requirements)	& Type	Location		Cost	Cost	Date	Cost	Date	Cost	Date	Complete		of Contract			
Developmental Test & Evaluation							(	0.800				0.800				
Operational Test & Evaluation												0.000				
Tooling												0.000				
GFE												0.000				
Subtotal T&E					0.000	0.000		0.800	C	0.000	0.00	0.800	)			
Contractor Engineering Support												0.000	)			
Government Engineering Support												0.000				
Program Management Support												0.000	)			
Travel												0.000	)			
Labor (Research Personnel)												0.000	)			
Overhead												0.000	)			
Subtotal Management					0.000	0.000		0.000	C	0.000	0.00	0.000	)			
Remarks:																
Total Cost				#	#REF! #F	REF!		1.000	#F	REF!	#REF	! #REF!	!			
Remarks:																